

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/832,703	04/11/2001	Karl-Hans Holder	DE920000023US1 1678			
7590 05/20/2004			EXAMINER			
	IBM Corporation - MS P386 · ·			PHAM, KHANH B		
Intellectual Property Law Department 2455 South Road Poughkeepsie, NY 12601			ART UNIT PAPER NUME			
			<u> </u>	A A		
i oughkeepsie,	141 12001		2177	14		
			DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/832,703		HOLDER ET AL.	•		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Khanh B. Pha		2177			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	on appears on the co	over sheet with the d	correspondence addres	'S		
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, I ion. s, a reply within the statutory period will apply and will ex a statute, cause the applicati	nowever, may a reply be tir minimum of thirty (30) day pire SIX (6) MONTHS from on to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commut (D) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.		
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	18 March 2004.					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-	final.				
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder <i>Ex parte Quayl</i>	e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition	on of Claims			<u> </u>			
4) \(\times \) 5) \(\times \) 6) \(\times \) 7) \(\times \)	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the applicate Aa) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from consid					
Application	on Papers						
9)[] 1	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)[「he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[accepted or b)	objected to by the I	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be h	eld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c				• •		
11)[_]	Fhe oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.		
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[∑	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been re ments have been re e priority documents tureau (PCT Rule 17	eceived. eceived in Applicati have been receive 7.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stag	je		
Attachment	(s)						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08) 5)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P Other:)		

Art Unit: 2177

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114.
- 2. Applicant's submission filed on March 18, 2004 has been entered. Claim 1 has been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lennon (US 2003/0208473 A1), hereinafter "Lennon".

As per claims 1, 8, 9, 12, Lennon teaches a method, a computer system having means, a program storage device and a computer program product stored on a computer usable medium, for providing access to resources (See abstract) comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2177

- "defining physical and/or logical parameters required for locating a desired resource" at page 1, [0009]. (Lennon teaches: "method of browsing electronically-accessible resources using descriptions of said resources, wherein said descriptions of said resources have descriptor components, said descriptor components having attributes representative of at least two axes of access to the resources and wherein said descriptions have links to corresponding said electronically-accessible resources";)
- "reading resource-specific information from a resource-specifying source specifying a structure containing said resource" at page 1, [0009]. (Lennon teaches: "said method comprising the steps of: reading said descriptions.")
- resource-specific information" at page 9, [0110] and Fig. 2B. (Lennon teaches:

 "FIG. 1B shows an overview of the method of processing a

 description of a resource. In this method, a serialised

 description 100B is parsed by a processor 102B which in

 turn generates a representation 104B of the description in

 memory. The representation 104B is an instance of the Desom

 of the DDF"; Fig. 2B shows the step of generating DesOM tree from the

 description of the resource.)

Art Unit: 2177

• "enabling access to the desired resource to update said resource by calling a resource access performer with at least one of said parameters and evaluating said control information" at page 1, [0009]. (Lennon teaches: "browsing said descriptions of the resources and their corresponding electronically-accessible resources via said links using said displayed items". Lennon also teaches update said resource by allow user to "add a new digital video resource" ([0436]) or "insert a new item at the desired location" ([0443])).

As per claim 2, Lennon teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising the step of: "automatically triggering a semantic evaluation of the contents of a resource desired to be updated when said resource is referenced in calling said resource access performer" at page 9, [0116], [0439];

As per claim 3, Lennon teaches the method of claim 1 in which "said resource-specifying source is an XML file" at page 1, [0010].

As per claim 4, Lennon teaches the method of claim 1 in which "said hierarchical control information is defined in a data modeling schema comprising simple data types and at least one composition method for recursively constructing complex data types" at page 11, [0155] and Fig. 5.

As per claim 5, Lennon teaches the method of claim 4 in which said schema describes relations between data stored in one or more of said resources" at page 9, [0116].

Art Unit: 2177

As per claim 6, Lennon teaches the method of claim 1 in which "said resources are shared between at least two different operating systems" at page 31, [0428].

As per claim 7, Lennon teaches the method of claim 1 further comprising the step of: "performing extended processing on said resources as defined in a Java class" at page 24, [0359].

As per claim 10, Lennon teaches the computer program of claim 9 as discussed above, further comprising "an application interface for triggering requests for resource data processing from an application" at page 8, [0108], and "an architectured interface for resource access" at page 18, [0263].

As per claim 11, Lennon teaches the computer program of claim 10, in which "said interface comprises one or more calls to at least one resource access performer" at page 23, [0345]-[0348].

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 3/18/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner respectfully traverses applicant's arguments.

Applicant argued that Lennon only teaches "browsing, searching, locating and annotating electronically accessible resource", but doe not teach: "updating such resource" as claimed. On the contrary, in a specific example, Lennon teaches a Digital Video Browser System which allow not only browsing, searching, locating, playing and annotating digital video sources (page 31, [0432]-[0434]), but also allow user to modify

Art Unit: 2177

or update the source such as "adding a new digital video resource" or "insert a new item at the desired location" at page 32, recited below:

"for example, if he/she was adding a new digital video resource containing the footage from a football match then he/she would most likely use the description scheme in Appendix B, however if the digital video resource contained some footage of a recent holiday, then it's likely that the description scheme contained in Appendix D would be more appropriate. [0436]

"The Digital Video Browser System has access to a collection of digital video resources, which is hereinafter referred to a Digital Video Library. A newly described digital video resource can be simply appended to an existing collection of described digital video resources. Alternatively (see Section 11. Remote Digital Video Browser Devices), the user can insert a new item at the desired location using a drag and drop means. The Digital Video Library is itself a resource able to be described. Therefore, on initialising the Digital Video Browser System a description scheme for a Digital Video Library is used to automatically generate a description for the Digital Video Library" [0443].

Applicant argued that Lennon does not teach: "automatically triggering a semantic evaluation of the content of a resource desired to be updated when said resource is referenced in calling said resource access performer". On the contrary, Lennon teaches the description of a resource which is an instance of Description

Object Model which provides "the core semantics of the description and is based on the descriptor entity" (page 9, [0116]). Lennon also teaches the VideoDescription contains "a series of semantic labels" as recited below:

"The VideoDescription descriptor D1 has an associated descriptor handler D2 which provides a method to automatically segment the digital video resource into a series of individual shots. The Shot descriptor D3 has an associated descriptor handler D4 which

Art Unit: 2177

provides a method to automatically select a key frame from a specific shot and then **generate a series of semantic labels** which provide some information about the content of the particular shot (eg., whether or not the shot contained people, was an indoors or outdoors shot, etc.). These descriptor handler methods are executed on the creation of a descriptor in the description being generated".[0439]

As seen above, Lennon automatically trigger an evaluation of the description of the resource (i.e., access resources using description of said resource), and the description of the resource contain semantic labels of the content of the resource ([0439]). Therefore, Lennon teaches the limitation of claim 2.

In light of the foregoing arguments, the 35 U.S.C 102 rejection is hereby sustained.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record, listed on form PTO-892, and not relied upon, if any, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

If a reference indicated as being mailed on PTO-FORM 892 has not been enclosed in this action, please contact Lisa Craney whose telephone number is (703) -305-9601 for faster service.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh B. Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-7299. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30am to 4:00pm.

Art Unit: 2177

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E Breene can be reached on (703) 305-9790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khanh B. Pham Examiner Art Unit 2177

KBP May 14, 2004

